Consolidated State Application For The State of New Hampshire

for State Grants under Title IX, Part C, Section 9302 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (Public Law 107-110)



Submitted on: May 1, 2003 by Nicholas Donohue Commissioner of Education

New Hampshire Department of Education 101 Pleasant Street Concord, NH 03301 As described in the May 7, 2002, Consolidated State Application Package, States' submissions of their consolidated applications have been divided into multiple submissions and information requests. The information States are to provide in their May 1, 2003, consolidated applications is listed below. This list differs from the list in the Consolidated State Application form distributed in 2002 in that it excludes (1) the information that States were required to submit in their January 31, 2003, Accountability Workbooks, (2) the information States are to provide for Goal 5 (All students will graduate from high school), and (3) the information States are to provide regarding their objectives for student development and attainment of English proficiency. It also corrects an error in the application package. The 2002 application package indicated that performance targets for non-AYP indicators would be due in May 2003. It should have stated that both targets and baseline data for non-AYP indicators would be due in September 2003.

- (1) <u>Accountability Workbooks</u>. States are expected to submit any outstanding accountability workbook information at the time and in the manner previously established by the Department.
- (2) <u>Goal 5 baseline data and targets.</u> The Department is considering publishing an amendment to the Consolidated State Application regulations to require States to use the same definition for graduation rate that has been approved by the Department as part of the State's Accountability Plan under Title I, Part A of the ESEA. Therefore the submission date for baseline data and targets for Goal 5 is changed from May to September 2003.
- (3) <u>English Proficiency Objectives.</u> Since many States have indicated that they will not have objectives related to student development and attainment of English proficiency by May, the Department is deferring submission of the objectives until September 2003.

Summary of Information Required for May 1, 2003 Submission

A. ESEA GOALS, ESEA INDICATORS, STATE PERFORMANCE TARGETS

1. Baseline data and performance targets for the following AYP- related indicators.

<u>Performance Goal 1:</u> By 2013-2014, all students will reach high standards, at a minimum by attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.

1.1 Performance indicator: The percentage of students, in the aggregate and for each subgroup, who are at or above the proficient level in reading/language arts on the State's assessment, consistent with the State's annual measurable objectives. (Note: These subgroups are those

for which the ESEA requires State reporting, as identified in section 1111(h)(1)(c)(i).)

- 1.2 Performance indicator: The percentage of students, in the aggregate and in each subgroup, who are at or above the proficient level in mathematics on the State's assessment, consistent with the State's annual measurable objectives. (Note: These subgroups are those for which the ESEA requires State reporting, as identified in section 1111(h)(1)(c)(i).)
- 1.3 Performance indicator: The percentage of Title I schools that make adequate yearly progress.

<u>Performance Goal 2:</u> All limited English proficient students will become proficient in English and reach high academic standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.

- 2.2 Performance indicator: The percentage of limited English proficient students who are at or above the proficient level in reading/language arts on the State's assessment, as reported for performance indicator 1.1.
- 2.3 Performance indicator: The percentage of limited English proficient students who are at or above the proficient level in mathematics on the State's assessment, as reported for performance indicator 1.2.

Baseline data and performance targets for all ESEA Goals and indicators not included in this May 1, 2003, submission will be due on September 1, 2003.

2. Baseline data and performance targets for any State identified goals and indicators.

B. STATE ACTIVITES TO IMPLEMENT ESEA PROGRAMS

Consistent with the consolidated State Application Package distributed in Spring 2002, States are asked to submit the following information by May 1, 2003:

- 1a. Evidence that the State has:
 - adopted challenging content standards in reading/language arts and mathematics at each grade level for grades 3 through 8, consistent with section 1111(b)(1); or
 - disseminated grade-level expectations for reading/language arts and mathematics for grades 3 through 8 to LEAs and schools if the State's academic content standards cover more than one grade level.
- 1b. Detailed timeline for major milestones for adopting challenging academic content standards in science that meet the requirements of section 1111(b)(1).

CONSOLIDATED STATE APPLICATION MAY 1, 2003, SUBMISSION

- 1c. A detailed timeline of major milestones for the development and implementation, in consultation with LEAs, of assessments in mathematics, reading/language arts, and science that meet the requirements of section 1111(b)(3) in the required grade levels.
- 1d. A detailed timeline for major milestones for setting, in consultation with LEAs, academic achievement standards in mathematics, reading/language arts, and science that meet the requirements of section 1111(b)(1).

This workbook format has been developed to facilitate preparation and submission of the information required in this May 1 submission. States may use this format or another format of their choosing provided that all required information is provided in a clear and concise manner. The deadline for submission of this application is May 1, 2003.

Transmittal Instructions

To expedite the receipt of this May 1, 2003, Consolidated State Application submission, please send your submission via the Internet as a .doc file, pdf file, rtf or .txt file or provide the URL for the site where your submission is posted on the Internet. Send electronic submissions to conapp@ed.gov.

A State that submits only a paper submission should mail the submission by express courier to:

Celia Sims U.S. Department of Education 400 Maryland Ave., SW Room 3W300 Washington, D.C. 20202-6400 (202) 401-0113

A. ESEA GOALS, ESEA INDICATORS, STATE PERFORMANCE TARGETS

Baseline Data for Performance Indicators 1.1, 1.2, 2.2, and 2.3

In the following charts, please provide baseline data from the 2001-2002 school year test administration. Charts have been provided for each of grades 3 through 8 and high school to accommodate the varied State assessment systems in mathematics and reading/language arts during the 2001-2002 school year. States should provide baseline data on the percentage of students scoring at the proficient or advanced levels for those grades in which the State administered mathematics and reading/language arts assessments during 2001-2002.

Grade 3 Math	Percent of Students at Proficient or Advanced
Student Group	01-02 Baseline
All Students	80
African American/Black	62
American Indian/Native Alaskan	65
Asian/Pacific Islander	85
Hispanic	60
White	82
Other (Race/Ethnicity Not Reported)	74
Students with Disabilities	49
Students without Disabilities	86
Limited English Proficient	54
Economically Disadvantaged	65
Non-Economically Disadvantaged	84
Migrant	75
Male	80
Female	81

Grade 3 Reading/Language Arts	Percent of Students at Proficient or Advanced
Student Group	01-02 Baseline
All Students	75
African American/Black	57
American Indian/Native Alaskan	42
Asian/Pacific Islander	73
Hispanic	45
White	77
Other (Race/Ethnicity Not Reported)	73
Students with Disabilities	30
Students without Disabilities	83
Limited English Proficient	35
Economically Disadvantaged	55
Non-Economically Disadvantaged	80
Migrant	75
Male	72
Female	81

Grade 4 Math	Percent of Students at Proficient or Advanced
Student Group	01-02 Baseline
All Students	Not Applicable
African American/Black	Grade 4 students are not
American Indian/Native Alaskan	tested as part of the
Asian/Pacific Islander	statewide assessment
Hispanic	program
White	
Other	
Students with Disabilities	
Students without Disabilities	
Limited English Proficient	
Economically Disadvantaged	
Non-Economically Disadvantaged	
Migrant	
Male	
Female	

Grade 4 Reading/Language Arts	Percent of Students at Proficient or Advanced
Student Group	01-02 Baseline
All Students	Not Applicable
African American/Black	Grade 4 students are not
American Indian/Native Alaskan	tested as part of the
Asian/Pacific Islander	statewide assessment
Hispanic	program
White	
Other	
Students with Disabilities	
Students without Disabilities	
Limited English Proficient	
Economically Disadvantaged	
Non-Economically Disadvantaged	
Migrant	
Male	
Female	

Grade 5 Math	Percent of Students at Proficient or Advanced
Student Group	01-02 Baseline
All Students	Not Applicable
African American/Black	Grade 5 students are not
American Indian/Native Alaskan	tested as part of the
Asian/Pacific Islander	statewide assessment
Hispanic	program
White	
Other	
Students with Disabilities	
Students without Disabilities	
Limited English Proficient	
Economically Disadvantaged	
Non-Economically Disadvantaged	
Migrant	
Male	
Female	

Grade 5 Reading/Language Arts	Percent of Students at Proficient or Advanced
Student Group	01-02 Baseline
All Students	Not Applicable
African American/Black	Grade 5 students are not
American Indian/Native Alaskan	tested as part of the
Asian/Pacific Islander	statewide assessment
Hispanic	program
White	
Other	
Students with Disabilities	
Students without Disabilities	
Limited English Proficient	
Economically Disadvantaged	
Non-Economically Disadvantaged	
Migrant	
Male	
Female	

Grade 6 Math	Percent of Students at Proficient or Advanced
Student Group	01-02 Baseline
All Students	72
African American/Black	46
American Indian/Native Alaskan	65
Asian/Pacific Islander	88
Hispanic	48
White	73
Other (Race/Ethnicity Not Reported)	73
Students with Disabilities	34
Students without Disabilities	79
Limited English Proficient	46
Economically Disadvantaged	51
Non-Economically Disadvantaged	76
Migrant	38
Male	72
Female	74

Grade 6 Reading/Language Arts	Percent of Students at Proficient or Advanced
Student Group	01-02 Baseline
All Students	69
African American/Black	44
American Indian/Native Alaskan	59
Asian/Pacific Islander	60
Hispanic	41
White	69
Other (Race/Ethnicity Not Reported)	71
Students with Disabilities	23
Students without Disabilities	76
Limited English Proficient	37
Economically Disadvantaged	44
Non-Economically Disadvantaged	73
Migrant	48
Male	63
Female	75

Grade 7 Math	Percent of Students at Proficient or Advanced
Student Group	01-02 Baseline
All Students	Not Applicable
African American/Black	Grade 7 students are not
American Indian/Native Alaskan	tested as part of the
Asian/Pacific Islander	statewide assessment
Hispanic	program
White	
Other	
Students with Disabilities	
Students without Disabilities	
Limited English Proficient	
Economically Disadvantaged	
Non-Economically Disadvantaged	
Migrant	
Male	
Female	

Grade 7 Reading/Language Arts	Percent of Students at Proficient or Advanced
Student Group	01-02 Baseline
All Students	Not Applicable
African American/Black	Grade 7 students are not
American Indian/Native Alaskan	tested as part of the
Asian/Pacific Islander	statewide assessment
Hispanic	program
White	
Other	
Students with Disabilities	
Students without Disabilities	
Limited English Proficient	
Economically Disadvantaged	
Non-Economically Disadvantaged	
Migrant	
Male	
Female	

Grade 8 Math	Percent of Students at Proficient or Advanced
Student Group	01-02 Baseline
All Students	Not Applicable
African American/Black	Grade 8 students are not
American Indian/Native Alaskan	tested as part of the
Asian/Pacific Islander	statewide assessment
Hispanic	program
White	
Other	
Students with Disabilities	
Students without Disabilities	
Limited English Proficient	
Economically Disadvantaged	
Non-Economically Disadvantaged	
Migrant	
Male	
Female	

Grade 8 Reading/Language Arts	Percent of Students at Proficient or Advanced
Student Group	01-02 Baseline
All Students	Not Applicable
African American/Black	Grade 8 students are not
American Indian/Native Alaskan	tested as part of the
Asian/Pacific Islander	statewide assessment
Hispanic	program
White	
Other	
Students with Disabilities	
Students without Disabilities	
Limited English Proficient	
Economically Disadvantaged	
Non-Economically Disadvantaged	
Migrant	
Male	
Female	

BASELINE STUDENT ACHIEVEMENT DATA: HIGH SCHOOL

High School Math	Percent of Students at Proficient or Advanced
Student Group	01-02 Baseline
All Students	60
African American/Black	33
American Indian/Native Alaskan	35
Asian/Pacific Islander	68
Hispanic	30
White	62
Other (Race/Ethnicity Not Reported)	60
Students with Disabilities	20
Students without Disabilities	67
Limited English Proficient	25
Economically Disadvantaged	37
Non-Economically Disadvantaged	62
Migrant	0
Male	63
Female	63

High School Reading/Language Arts	Percent of Students at Proficient or Advanced
Student Group	01-02 Baseline
All Students	75
African American/Black	44
American Indian/Native Alaskan	66
Asian/Pacific Islander	72
Hispanic	51
White	76
Other (Race/Ethnicity Not Reported)	74
Students with Disabilities	32
Students without Disabilities	82
Limited English Proficient	33
Economically Disadvantaged	55
Non-Economically Disadvantaged	77
Migrant	0
Male	71
Female	85

Performance Targets for Performance Indicators 1.1, 1.2, 2.2, and 2.3

In the following charts, please provide performance targets for the percentage of students who will be at or above the proficient level in mathematics and reading/language arts on the State's assessment, consistent with the State's annual measurable objectives. Three sets of charts have been provided to accommodate States' varying plans for setting annual measurable objectives, with some States having the same annual measurable objectives for all grade levels in the State and other States having separate annual measurable objectives for elementary, middle, and high schools. At the top of each set of charts, please indicate the grades levels to which your annual measurable objectives apply.

STATE PERFORMANCE TARGETS (ANNUAL MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES)

GRADES: 3 AND 6

Math	Percent of Students at Proficient or Advanced
2002-2003 Target	64
2003-2004 Target	64
2004-2005 Target	73
2005-2006 Target	73
2006-2007 Target	73
2007-2008 Target	82
2008-2009 Target	82
2009-2010 Target	82
2010-2011 Target	91
2011-2012 Target	91
2012-2013 Target	91
2013-2014 Target	100

Reading/Language Arts	Percent of Students at Proficient or Advanced
2002-2003 Target	60
2003-2004 Target	60
2004-2005 Target	70
2005-2006 Target	70
2006-2007 Target	70
2007-2008 Target	80
2008-2009 Target	80
2009-2010 Target	80
2010-2011 Target	90
2011-2012 Target	90
2012-2013 Target	90
2013-2014 Target	100

STATE PERFORMANCE TARGETS (ANNUAL MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES)

GRADES: <u>10</u>

Math	Percent of Students at Proficient or Advanced
2002-2003 Target	52
2003-2004 Target	52
2004-2005 Target	64
2005-2006 Target	64
2006-2007 Target	64
2007-2008 Target	76
2008-2009 Target	76
2009-2010 Target	76
2010-2011 Target	88
2011-2012 Target	88
2012-2013 Target	88
2013-2014 Target	100

Reading/Language Arts	Percent of Students at Proficient or Advanced
2002-2003 Target	70
2003-2004 Target	70
2004-2005 Target	77
2005-2006 Target	77
2006-2007 Target	77
2007-2008 Target	85
2008-2009 Target	85
2009-2010 Target	85
2010-2011 Target	92
2011-2012 Target	92
2012-2013 Target	92
2013-2014 Target	100

STATE PERFORMANCE TARGETS (ANNUAL MEASURABLE OBJECTIVES)

GRADES:	
---------	--

Math	Percent of Students at Proficient or Advanced
2002-2003 Target	
2003-2004 Target	
2004-2005 Target	
2005-2006 Target	
2006-2007 Target	
2007-2008 Target	
2008-2009 Target	
2009-2010 Target	
2010-2011 Target	
2011-2012 Target	
2012-2013 Target	
2013-2014 Target	

Reading/Language Arts	Percent of Students at Proficient or Advanced
2002-2003 Target	
2003-2004 Target	
2004-2005 Target	
2005-2006 Target	
2006-2007 Target	
2007-2008 Target	
2008-2009 Target	
2009-2010 Target	
2010-2011 Target	
2011-2012 Target	
2012-2013 Target	
2013-2014 Target	

Baseline Data and Performance Targets for Performance Indicator 1.3

In the following chart, please provide baseline data and performance targets for the percentage of Title I schools that make adequate yearly progress. For baseline data, please indicate the percentage of Title I schools that made adequate yearly progress in the 2001-2002 school year, based upon the 2001-2002 school year test administration. For performance targets, please indicate the percentage of Title I schools that will make adequate yearly progress from the 2002-2003 school year through the 2013-2014 school year.

Baseline Data and Targets	Percentage of Title I Schools Making Adequate Yearly Progress
2001-2002 Baseline	80
2002-2003 Target	80
2003-2004 Target	80
2004-2005 Target	83
2005-2006 Target	83
2006-2007 Target	83
2007-2008 Target	86
2008-2009 Target	86
2009-2010 Target	86
2010-2011 Target	89
2011-2012 Target	89
2012-2013 Target	89
2013-2014 Target	90

2. Baseline data and performance targets for any State identified goals and indicators

If your State included any State identified goals and indicators in its June 2002 consolidated State application submission, please provide baseline data and performance targets for those goals and indicators below.

BASELINE DATA AND PERFORMANCE INDICATORS FOR STATE IDENTIFIED GOALS AND INDICATORS
NA

B. STATE ACTIVITES TO IMPLEMENT ESEA PROGRAMS

1a. Please provide evidence that the State has:

- adopted challenging content standards in reading/language arts and mathematics at each grade level for grades 3 through 8, consistent with section 1111(b)(1); or
- disseminated grade-level expectations for reading/language arts and mathematics for grades 3 through 8 to LEAs and schools if the State's academic content standards cover more than one grade level.

STATE RESPONSE

In accordance with RSA 193-C relative to the New Hampshire Educational Improvement and Assessment Program, New Hampshire has developed content standards called the New Hampshire Curriculum Frameworks. The purpose of these frameworks is to serve as the basis for the development of assessment instruments to be administered statewide, at the end-of grades three, six, and ten; and (2) as a guide for making local decisions about curriculum development and delivery. K-12 English Language Arts Curriculum Framework – adopted June 1995 K-12 Mathematics Curriculum Framework – adopted February 1995 Frameworks available at www.ed.state.nh.us. Grade level expectations for grades 3-8 (mathematics and English language arts) have been developed in collaboration with the members of the New England Compact. These grade level expectations have been sent to review committees and will be become public documents in May 2003. There will be a year long initiative to disseminate these expectations to the school districts in New Hampshire. Grade level expectations available at www.ed.state.nh.us.

1b. Please provide a detailed timeline for major milestones for adopting challenging academic content standards in science that meet the requirements of section 1111(b)(1).

STATE RESPONSE

In accordance with RSA 193-C relative to the New Hampshire Educational Improvement and Assessment Program, New Hampshire has developed content standards called the New Hampshire Curriculum Frameworks. The purpose of these frameworks is to serve as the basis for the development of assessment instruments to be administered statewide, at the end-of grades three, six, and ten; and (2) as a guide for making local decisions about curriculum development and delivery. K-12 Science Curriculum Framework – adopted February 1995
Frameworks available at www.ed.state.nh.us.

1c. Please provide a detailed timeline of major milestones for the development and implementation, in consultation with LEAs, of assessments in mathematics, reading/language arts, and science that meet the requirements of section 1111(b)(3) in the required grade levels.

STATE RESPONSE		
STATE RESPONSE		
Major Milestones	Start Date	Completion Date
Review draft test grade level expectations and incorporate public comment	5/03	5/04
Create a month-by–month schedule of test development procedures that result in the delivery of draft assessments by June 30, 2004. (Example: 4/03 Meet with leading test vendors to survey shelf products, 5/03 Form Technical Advisory Committee, 6/03 Attend CCSSO Large Scale Assessment Conference, 7/03 Test Specifications work with NE Collaborative, 8/03 Analysis of NHEIAP by the Center of Assessment, 9/03 RFP Preparation, etc.)	5/03	8/03
Prepare schedule for regular consultation with stakeholder groups.	8/03	9/03
Publish the Assessment RFP, including Bidders Conference, Question and Answer Timeframe	10/03	2/04
Plan the timeline in conjunction with the TAC and PAC to add an elementary grade-level science assessment	10/03	2/04
Analysis of current grade 6 and grade 10 science assessment for NCLB requirements	10/3	5/04
Plan the assessment transition to ensure that assessments are valid and will provide appropriate data for AYP determinations	11/03	3/04
Plan the assessment development to ensure that it allows gains-based analysis at grades 3 – 8, 10; Submit a plan for ensuring national comparison.	3/04	5/04
Plan the assessment development to ensure that coherence is achieved across grade levels from a developmental perspective and that such coherence includes the description of achievement levels at each grade level.	3/04	5/04
Submit drafts of item types and proposed validation procedures to Policy Advisory Committee, Technical Advisory Panel and State Board and Legislative Oversight Subcommittee for feedback	3/04	9/04
Design and submit a plan for the pilot study of 3-8 grade level assessments in English/language arts and mathematics including: appropriate sampling procedures; timelines for piloting that are appropriate for school schedules; setting cut scores, professional development and security procedures; t raining for local administration	3/04	9/04
Draft Technical Manual for the assessments to be reviewed by Technical Advisory Panel to ensure that the assessments meet the technical requirements of NCLBA, including appropriate achievement levels, descriptors and procedures for determining cut scores	9/04	3/05
Conduct the pilot studies at each grade level	3/05	6/05
Solicit feedback from all stakeholder groups	3/05	6/05
Complete final draft of tests for all grade levels and submit to state as a deliverable	3/05	6/05
Inform State Board of Education and Legislative Oversight Committee with respect to progress	3/05	6/05
Disseminate sample assessments statewide and provide final copy of assessments for submission to U.S. DOE	6/05	7/05
Submit a final test development report to the TAC/PAC, Legislative Oversight Committee and State Board of Education to include: test forms, reliability and other special study reports, test manuals, and analysis of the strengths and limitations of the assessment		By October 15, 2005

1d. Please provide a detailed timeline for major milestones for setting, in consultation with LEAs, academic achievement standards in mathematics, reading/language arts, and science that meet the requirements of section 1111(b)(1).		
STATE RESPONSE		
See previous timeline		